Public Finance and Economic Development

(Or How I Learned to Stop Worrying and Love the Negotiation.)

Brandon Davis and Theo Melancon

OLSON OLSON LLP

The Goals

Stop Worrying (Learn the Basics)



Embrace the Negotiation (Best Practices)



The Basic Structures

OLSON

OLSON LLP

- Public Improvement District
- Municipal Utility District
- Municipal Management District
- Chapter 380 vs. Chapter 312 Tax "Abatements"

Public Improvement District

- Chapter 372, Local Government Code
 - Not a Political Subdivision of the State Creation of City/County
- Originally Conceived for City/County Initiation
 - Originally a redevelopment tool
- Property Assessed on a Per Lot/Parcel Basis
- PID Proceeds Pay for Actual Cost of Construction of Improvements
- Has Morphed Into Developer Tool to Lower Purchase Costs

OLSO

- Easy to Dissolve; Assessment Must Still Be Paid Out

Municipal Utility District

- Houston and San Antonio Developer Favorite
- Chapter 54, Water Code
 - Official Subdivision of the State of Texas
- Ad Valorum plus Revenue from Utilities
 - Allows for established stream of revenue for ongoing maintenance

OLSON

- Evergreening Problem for Dissolution
 - City/County Must Absorb Outstanding Debt

Municipal Management District

- Chapter 375, Local Government Code
 - Political Subdivision of the State of Texas
- Commercial Property Owner Driven Creation
- May Assess Property Taxes, Impact Fees, Assessments
- Public Improvements and Ongoing Maintenance
- Can Do Economic Development Projects (Advertising)

OLSO

Dissolution is Relatively Easy

Tax Abatement/Rebate

- Chapter 312 Standard Tax Abatement
 - Common Economic Development Tool
 - More Procedural
 - Abated Revenue Credited Toward No New Revenue Rate

Chapter 380 – Tax Rebate Program

- Chapter 380 Allows Cities to Provide Funding With Wide Discretion
- Determination of Public Purpose/Good
- Abated Revenue Not Credited Toward No New Revenue Rate



Embrace the Negotiation



OLSON OLSON LLP

Common Pitfalls

Cities Take Developer Words At Face Value

 "It is not profitable without X"
 "This market doesn't bear... blah blah blah"

Cities Do Not Understand the Private Market
Cities Undervalue Their Services
Cities Undervalue Public Amenities
Results = Cities Do Not Ask



Best Practices

- Developers Always Show Up With An "Ask"
- □ Hire an Economic Strategist to "Run the Numbers"
- Decide Responsibilities of Each Party to Determine Which Tools to Use
- Ongoing Maintenance is Expensive, Factor That In
- Always Have An "Ask" to Better Your CommunityChapter 380 Will Be Key



The Ask

□ Water Supply, System Extension

Ongoing Maintenance or Debt Payment

Public Safety Facilities

□ Police/Fire/EMS Substations

□ Fire Training Facility

Dedicated Park Space

- Ongoing Maintenance By City vs. MMD or POA
- Amenitized or Not

Discussion of Annexation (Present or Future)

- Negotiate Out Evergreening
- Payment In Lieu of Taxes (To Stay Out of City Limits)
- Automatic Acquiescence to Annexation Within A Period of Time

OLSON OLSON LLP

Questions?



